Case 4:19-cv-05279-SAB **ECF No. 16** filed 03/06/25 PageID.313 Page 1 of 2 FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON Mar 06, 2025 5 SEAN F. McAVOY, CLERK 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 9 No. 4:19-CV-05279-SAB ALLAH@ 11 Petitioner, 12 ORDER DENYING MOTION V. 13 ANCER L. HAGGERTY and DONALD FOR RELIEF FROM 14 R. HOLBROOK **JUDGMENT** 15 Respondents. 16 17 Before the Court is Petitioner's Motion for Relief from Judgment and Order 18 F.R.C.P. Rule 60(b)(2) Newly Discovered Evidence; (3) Fraud; (4) Void Judgment; (6) Any Other Reason Justifying Relief from Operation of Judgment, ECF No. 15. Petitioner is pro se. Respondents have not been served. 20 21 On April 20, 2020, Petitioner's appeal to the Ninth Circuit in this matter was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. On April 28, 2020, this Court dismissed this matter without prejudice for Petitioner's failure to comply with Habeas Rule 24||3(a)(2) related to filing his fee and *informa pauperis* application. Petitioner now requests the Court provide relief from judgment based on Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) for newly discovered evidence, fraud, void judgment, or any other reason justifying 27 relief. 28 Rule 60(c) requires a motion seeking relief pursuant to Rule 60(b) be within ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT ~ 1

one year of judgment for allegations of (1) mistake, (2) newly discovered evidence, or (3) fraud. Time is not limited for (4) judgment that is void, (5) judgment satisfied, released, or discharged, or (6) any other justifiable reason.

Petitioner filed this Motion nearly five years after the Court's final order on April 28, 2020. Therefore, he is time-barred from seeking relief as to Rule 60(b)(1), (2), and (3). Further, he has not provided any facts to show his judgment in this matter is void, satisfied, released, or discharged. There is no other justifiable reason why this Court should provide relief from its judgment dismissing this matter for failure to comply with Habeas Rule 3(a)(2). As such, the Court denies 10 Petitioner's Motion.

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

Petitioner's Motion for Relief from Judgment and Order F.R.C.P. Rule 60(b)(2) Newly Discovered Evidence; (3) Fraud; (4) Void Judgment; (6) Any 14 Other Reason Justifying Relief from Operation of Judgment, ECF No. 15, is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter this Order, provide copies to pro se Petitioner, and keep the file closed.

**DATED** this 6th day of March 2025.



Stanley A. Bastian Chief United States District Judge

25 26

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

28